



SYIP and HB1887/HB2

Nick Donohue

Deputy Secretary of Transportation

July 15, 2015













SYIP Historical Context

- Full Six-Year Improvement Program updated annually with a new sixth year fully programmed
- Funding added incrementally to phases of a project
 - Majority of funds go to advancing existing projects
 - Many projects take years to receive full funding and advance to construction
- Opaque and inefficient process with funds spread out, often to 'keep peace in the valley'

HB1887 and HB2 Context FY 2016 – 2021 SYIP

Three major funding categories

- State of Good Repair \$575.7M
- High Priority Projects \$648.6M
- Construction District Grants \$648.6M

Specialized Programs

- Revenue Sharing \$185M to \$50M (\$635M total)
- Highway Safety Improvement Program ~\$50M annually
- CMAQ ~\$65M annually
- Regional STP ~\$90M annually
- Transportation Alternatives ~\$22M

HB1887 and HB2 Context

- State of Good Repair funds must be made available for projects using a needs-based formula based on priority ranking system
- High Priority Projects and District Grants Programs projects must be evaluated using HB2 Statewide Prioritization Process
- Both require significant effort and coordination with local governments

Considerations with HB2 and HB1887

- Goal with HB2 to make full funding awards to projects selected by the Board
 - Increases certainty for local communities and businesses
 - Allows new projects to be considered in each solicitation cycle rather than incremental additions to existing partially funded projects
- Annual evaluation cycle for HB2 may limit ability to focus on project development due to pressure to compete

Considerations with HB1887 and HB2

- Full funding awards may be difficult with annual solicitation due to available funding and HB1887 formulas
- Annual funding for District Grant Program in FY 2021 based on formula

Bristol	Cul'per	F'burg	HR	L'burg	NOVA	Ric	Salem	Stnton
\$12.3	\$10.8	\$12.0	\$35.2	\$12.5	\$36.2	\$25.2	\$16.8	\$13.6

Recommended SYIP Update Cycle

- Board would continue to update SYIP annually but would program two years of funding for certain programs
 - Even-number year updates
 - Specialized programs
 - Revenue Sharing
 - Odd-numbered year updates
 - High Priority Projects
 - Construction District Grants
 - State of Good Repair program would be programmed annually
- Transition period for FY17-22 and FY18-23 updates

Benefits of Two-Year Cycle

- Provides higher level of certainty for projects
 - Full funding awards for projects
 - Reduces number of updates for each funding program
- Doubles the amount of funding available for each program allowing both small and larger projects to receive funding
- Provides additional time for project development and coordination with local communities during off-cycle
- Provides Board with increased oversight ability

FY17 and FY18 SYIP Transition

- FY17-22 Update
 - HB2 programs all funds prior up to FY21
 - HSIP/CMAQ/RSTP all funds through FY22
 - Revenue Sharing FY17
 - Transportation Alternatives FY17 and FY18
- FY18-23 Update
 - HB2 programs FY22 and FY23 (start 2 year cycle)
 - HSIP/CMAQ/RSTP no update
 - Revenue Sharing FY18

FY17-22 Update

Program	Available Funds
High Priority Projects	~\$600M
District Grants	~\$600M
HSIP/CMAQ/RSTP	\$317M
Revenue Sharing	\$150M

FY18-23 Update

Program	Available Funds
State of Good Repair	-
High Priority Projects	\$389M
District Grants	\$389M
HSIP/CMAQ/RSTP	-
Revenue Sharing	\$100M

Moving Forward

- Recommend Board adopt a resolution outlining its preference for process governing the development of future six-year improvement programs this Fall
 - State of Good Repair recommendations under development
 - Smart Roadway Technology and Unpaved Road recommendations under development
- Staff are working on draft process for developing programs for High Priority Projects and District Grant Programs from evaluated list of projects