

ROUTE 7 OVERVIEW

Commonwealth Transportation Board

Commissioner Stephen C. Brich, P.E.

Sept. 17, 2018

Route 7 Overview

- Major transportation arterial for commuter and commercial traffic from Alexandria-Leesburg-Winchester
 - Serves local residents and commuters traveling to the DC Metro area
 - Project limits: 6.9 miles of Route 7 between Leesburg & the Capital Beltway (I-495)
 - Current ADT is 55,000; heavily congested at peak hours
 - Urban residential area
 - Sensitive historical and environmental area at Colvin Mill and Difficult Run
 - Major utility corridor



Route 7 Corridor Improvements: A Closer Look



DO.

Route 7 Corridor Improvements Estimate History



Route 7 Corridor Improvements

Project Development

- February April 2017
 - Reduced scope (SWM ponds, bridge length, width of median, buffers, and shared-use paths); adjusted contingencies to keep estimate within \$233.8M budget.
- May July 2017
 - CTB briefed on Risk Assessment in May; significant risk categories cited:
 - Utilities
 - Noise Barriers
 - Geotechnical
 - USACOE
 - Washington Gas
 - The Finding of Public Interest for design-build with high risk approved by VDOT in July
- RFQ released in Aug. 2017; RFP in Nov. 2017, with estimated CN of \$183M.
- BAFO Summer 2018 CN of \$253M

Route 7 Corridor Improvements

Major line items and price differences

ltem	BAFO Price	VDOT	Difference
Design Services	\$22,965,000	\$7,632,000	\$15,333,000
Design-Build QA/QC	\$14,800,000	\$7,632,000	\$7,168,000
Utility Relocations	\$33,295,000	\$11,493,000	\$21,802,000

ltem	BAFO Price	VDOT	Difference
Mobilization	\$16,710,000	\$9,097,206	\$7,612,794
Sound Walls	\$25,875,330	\$17,149,843	\$8,725,487
МОТ	\$19,484,944	\$5,839,738	\$13,645,206

Route 7 Corridor Improvements

- VDOT's estimate did not predict the market response
 - Design-build project's size and time constraints likely affected the contractor's pricing. Compared to other design-build projects in NOVA:
 - Project duration was 6 years other projects took approximately 3 years
 - Project length was nearly 7 miles other projects have averaged 3 4 miles
 - 20 utility companies involved previous maximum was 12, and average was 8
 - 230 properties affected previous maximum was 64, and average was 30
 - Other contributing factors
 - Labor availability
 - Market saturation
 - Uncertainty on materials

SMART SCALE has changed how we do business.

- Normal project development
 - As design progresses more information available
 - Scope, schedule, and budget likely to change
- SMART SCALE changed process
 - Scope
 - Schedule
 - Estimates
 - All set with limited design
 - Estimates become budget
- How is VDOT controlling for this change?



Round 1 and 2 Projects – Lessons Learned

- Location Studies not completed prior to application or funding
 - Strengthened NEPA application readiness requirements
- Underestimated Scopes of Work and Budgets
 - During validation:
 - District confirms project description
 - Features and estimate are in agreement
 - Understanding of scope is confirmed with applicant
- Increased Property Values
 - Right of Way estimates being adjusted based on market conditions

Round 1 and 2 Projects – Lessons Learned, cont'd

- Underestimated or Increased Right of Way Impacts
 - Confirmation of a well defined scope during validation
 - Early identification of potential utility relocations
- Phased projects from Round 1 and Round 2 have been combined – resulted in increased construction costs
 - Projects approved in previous rounds should not be delayed to coincide with schedules of projects approved in later rounds

New Validation Policies for Round 3

- Ensure District and Central Office Subject Matter Experts are engaged during validation
- Use risk checklist during validation
- Additional Central Office L&D Estimate Validation
 - Application requests of greater \$50M in SMART SCALE funds
 - Estimate reviewed & certified by State L&D Engineer (~ 30 Applications)
 - Thorough review of Preliminary Engineering, Right of Way and Construction estimates of all projects that have a Construction Estimate greater than \$10M (Tier 2) and have one or more of the following risks:
 - Environmental
 - Right of Way
 - Design and Construction

Risk Items Considered in Round 3 Validation

- Environmental Risks:
 - Historical or park properties
 - Wetland or stream impacts
 - Threatened and Endangered(T&E) species
 - Hazardous materials
 - Soundwalls
 - Time of year restrictions
- Right of Way Risks:
 - Relocations or total takes
 - Coordination of utility relocations and betterments
 - Coordination with railroads

Risk Items Considered in Round 3 Validation

- Design and Construction Risks:
 - Complex maintenance of traffic requirements
 - Coordination with other agency stakeholders (Military, Transit, etc)
 - Large quantities of earthwork or rock excavation
 - Inability to treat stormwater onsite
 - Active Traffic Management (ATM) or ITS Components
 - Regional construction industry workload
 - Delivery method and accelerated schedules

At-Risk Project Identification

- Project schedule and budgets reviewed monthly
- Follow Re-evaluation Process if changes are requested
- Districts, CO L&D, and IID work together to identify at-risk projects. Of those identified, some:
 - Are recoverable (still at an early stage of development)
 - Have increases covered by the applicant
 - Will require CTB action to cover increase or drop project
- VDOT Executive Team review of at-risk projects
- Quarterly briefing to CTB (Oct. 2018)

