# Roanoke Region Intermodal Facility Site Evaluation Update

#### **Commonwealth Transportation Board**

December 14, 2006


**Kevin Page** 



www.drpt.virginia.gov

# Major Freight Corridors in VA

#### Dulles International Airport



www.drpt.virginia.gov

Note: Thickness of lines denotes relative cargo volume for each mode.

2

#### **Freight Capacity Challenges**

Volumes at the Port of Virginia will increase by 100% by 2020 and nearly 300% by 2040

- Currently generates 4,000 trucks per day
- At full build out, Craney Island will generate 5,000
- APM/Maersk terminal will generate 2,000
- Freight traffic could double by 2015, perhaps by 2010
- Vehicle miles traveled on VA's interstates and primary roads have increased 79%, but lane mileage has increased by only 9% (1985-2004)
- By 2025, 80% of VA's interstate system will be congested (Level of Service D or worse)



12/14/2006

### **Two Multi-State Freight Rail Initiatives**

□ The I-81 Freight Rail Study:

- Maximizing rail capacity along I-81
- Diverting more freight traffic from highways to rail
- Extends from PA to TN

#### The Heartland Corridor:

- Tunnel clearances to increase freight rail capacity along the Route 460 corridor
- Intermodal facility in the Roanoke region
- Extends from VA to Chicago with an intermodal facility in each state

Part of a multimodal corridor approach to improving freight transportation and managing truck traffic on highways



# Regional Benefits through Intermodal Facilities



www.drpt.virginia.gov

## **The Virginia Inland Port**





#### The Virginia Port Authority



# **The Virginia Inland Port**

- Intermodal Container Transfer Facility in Warren County
- > Opened in 1989
- ➢ 161 Acres
- 17,820 Feet of Rail Adjacent to Norfolk Southern Main Line: 36,000 rail containers/yr
- Full-Service Domestic Ramp with Service to Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, LA/Long Beach, Miami & New Orleans
- Easy Access to I-81 (North/South) and I-66 (East/West)

## Regional Benefits The Virginia Inland Port

- Economic Engine for the Commonwealth of Virginia
- □ Since opening in 1989:
  - 24 Major Companies have Located Near VIP
  - Investment of Over \$599
    Million
  - Employment of Over 7,000





#### New Intermodal Facilities in Other States

A new intermodal facility in the Kansas City area is expected to create 13,000 jobs when distribution centers are fully built out

Rickenbacher intermodal facility (part of the Heartland Corridor project) is expected to spur \$90 million in real estate projects, create more than 300 jobs and generate \$15 million in annual payroll



Sources: Columbus Business First and The Kansas City Star

9 🤇

## **Roanoke Region Intermodal Facility**



www.drpt.virginia.gov

# **Regional Economic Benefits**

The Heartland Corridor Multi-State Initiative includes one intermodal facility per state along the corridor.

Without an intermodal facility, Virginia will not have access to:

- Goods that are traveling along this rail line
- Competitive shipping to global markets
- New jobs and economic development

Without this facility, Virginia will be a passthrough state, leaving economic benefits and future jobs on the table for other states.



11 💽

# **Transportation Facts**

- A new option for freight shipping by rail along both the Heartland Corridor (Route 460) and the I-81 Corridor
- Competitive rail access to global markets
- More truck diversion to rail, which helps manage truck traffic on I-81 and Route 460
- Anticipated rail containers generated:
  - 2010-2020: average of 60/day
  - 2020 and beyond: average of 150/day
- Anticipated short-haul truck traffic generated:
  - 2010-2020: average of 87 trucks/day (5/hr)
  - 2020 and beyond: average of 235 trucks/day (15/hr)



Traffic schedule based on a 5-day work week and a 16-hr day

12

## **DRPT Site Solicitation Process**

- On October 6, 2006, DRPT notified NS of the criteria for a qualified site for the intermodal facility. The criteria for the facility are:
  - Must be close to Interstate I-81 with reasonable access and egress
  - Must be located on the Heartland Corridor line between Walton on the west and the Shenandoah line connection on the east
  - Must not create additional grade separations, particularly in congested urban areas
  - Should be a minimum of 65 acres and flat topography
  - Should seek to minimize associated roadway costs that might be engendered or necessitated
  - Should seek to be well-configured into the rail-operating perspective to avoid degrading rail traffic, add to efficiency of intermodal operation, and result in relative facility development or facility delivery costs



13 💿

12/14/2006

## **DRPT Site Solicitation Process (2)**

On October 18, 2006, DRPT solicited proposals from the Grantee, localities, and MPO's that lie along the rail line within the geographic boundaries of the search area

The solicitation process ended on November 1, 2006, with one deadline extension granted for a submission from the City of Salem



Commonwealth Transportation Board Meeting

### Summary of Site Proposals Received

10 site proposals received from Norfolk Southern

□ No site proposals received from area localities


#### Local entities contacted include:

- City of Salem
- City of Roanoke
- Montgomery County
- Roanoke County
- Roanoke Valley Economic Development Partnership
- New River Valley Economic Development Alliance
- Blacksburg/Christiansburg/Montgomery County Area MPO
- Roanoke Valley Area MPO
- Town of Christiansburg



15 🤇

## **Overview Map of Site Locations**



#### Site descriptions online at <u>www.drpt.virginia.gov</u>

 $(\bullet)$ 

# **Public Involvement**

- DRPT announced the beginning of the public comment period on Nov. 30<sup>th</sup> with a press release and advertisements in local newspapers.
- A public meeting was held at the VDOT Salem District Auditorium on Dec. 13, 2006.
- Comments will be accepted from Nov. 30, 2006 - Jan. 16, 2007. They will be compiled and responses will be issued in a public involvement report.
- Comments will be taken into consideration as part of the evaluation process.



17

# **Site Evaluation Process**

DRPT is working collectively with state agencies and NS to evaluate the sites submitted.

#### The evaluation includes:

- Initial site proposal review by DRPT for fatal flaws based on the criteria as established by DRPT for Rail Enhancement Funding
- Site proposal review with respective agencies as determined by DRPT, in coordination with the OAG
- Existing road impact evaluation and road need analysis as conducted by VDOT
- Site review for ancillary developable land for future distribution center growth opportunities as conducted by VPA
- Site proposal reviews for practical application and interface as provided by NS



Commonwealth Transportation Board Meeting 18 🦲

## Schedule

Final site determination by DRPT will include the review and consideration of DRPT's funding criteria, input from respective state agencies, public comments received, and site compatibility with NS operational requirements.

DRPT anticipates announcing a decision in February 2007.



Commonwealth Transportation Board Meeting

12/14/2006



## <u>www.drpt.virginia.gov</u> info@drpt.virginia.gov 804-786-4440



www.drpt.virginia.gov



12/14/2006