

Minutes of the Meeting  
of the  
State Highway Commission of Virginia  
Held in  
Richmond, April 21, 1965

At 9:00 A.M. Thursday, April 21, the State Highway Commission of Virginia met in the Central Office Building, Richmond, Virginia. Present: Messrs. E. P. Barrow, S. S. Flythe, S. D. May, Burgess E. Nelson, S. W. Rawls, Howard O. Rogers, Tucker C. Watkins, Jr., Wm. A. Wright and J. A. Anderson.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman.

The Chairman thanked the Commission officially and personally for coming to what he considered a most important meeting.

It was stated that cards were being passed to those attending the hearings scheduled for 9:50 and 10:50 respectively.

The Commission was advised that Mr. Davis and Mr. Felix would be with them for lunch.

It was also stated that Senator and Mrs. Wright had invited the Commission and their wives and the Department's engineers to their home after the inspection trip of Route U.S. 560.

Also the hearings on May 5 and 6 on the tentative allocations for 1965-1966 were referred to.

Mr. Barrow stated that a delegation from Lunenburg County would be present today to express its appreciation of the tentative allocations to the county and to request that they be confirmed.

It was moved by Mr. Rawls, and seconded by Mr. Barrow, that the minutes of the meeting of March 24 be approved as mailed out. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. May, that the permits issued from March 24 to April 21, inclusive, be approved, as recorded in the Auditing Division. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Watkins, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission approve the permits cancelled by the Commissioner from March 24 to April 21, inclusive, as authorized June 25, 1947, and recorded in the Auditing Division. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Flythe, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received March 30 on Route 56, Project 4162-07, for Strengthening and Reflooring Bridge over James River near Wings, Buckingham and Nelson Counties, to the low bidder, The Standard Engineering Corporation, Albemarle, N. Y., at the bid of \$34,625.50 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work making a total of approximately \$38,100.00 chargeable to this Project: financed with \$30,000.00 by the C&O Railroad and \$8,100.00 State Funds. Motion carried.

Copies of the suggested Additions and Deletions for 1955 were given to the Members of the Commission for Consideration.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Mr. May, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 8 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type I-3, Schedule 101-56, Bristol District, to the low bidder, Sam Finley, Inc., Roanoke, Va., at the bid of \$34,886.40, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$38,600.00 chargeable to this work. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. May, seconded by Mr. Rogers, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type I-3, Schedule 102-56, Bristol District, to the low bidder, Sam Finley, Inc., Roanoke, Va., at the bid of \$34,681.00, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$38,150.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Rawls, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 8 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type I-3, Schedule 103-56, Bristol District, to the low bidder, Pendleton Construction Corporation, Wytheville, Virginia, at the bid of \$10,579.00 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$11,650.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Flythe, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 8 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type I-3, Schedule 201-56, Salem District, to the low bidder, Adams Construction Company, Roanoke, Va., at the bid of \$61,166.80, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$67,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Flythe, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material Types H-2, F-1 or I-3, Schedule 301-55, Lynchburg District, to the low bidder, J. R. Ford Company, Inc., Lynchburg, Va., at the bid of \$30,188.80 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$33,150.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Wright, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for the Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 302-55, Lynchburg District, to the low bidder, S. L. Williamson Co., Inc., Charlottesville, Va., at the bid of \$21,488.42 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$23,600.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Nelson, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 303-55, Lynchburg District, to the low bidder, Clyde E. Royals, Hampton, Va., at the bid of \$35,220.74 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$38,750.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 401-55, Richmond District, to the low bidder, Asphalt Paving Service, Inc., Richmond, Va., at the bid of \$20,737.70 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$22,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. May, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 402-55, Richmond District, to the low bidder, Asphalt Paving Service, Inc., Richmond, Va., at the bid of \$14,852.80, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$16,350.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. May, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 403-55, Richmond District, to the low bidder, Short Paving Company, Inc., Petersburg, Va., at the bid of \$15,919.00 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$17,500.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Flythe, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 404-55, Richmond District, to the low bidder, Adams Construction Company, Roanoke, Va., at the bid of \$55,945.40 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$89,550.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Mr. May, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 501-55, Suffolk District, to the low bidder, Adams Construction Company, Roanoke, Va., at the bid of \$10,584.00 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$11,650.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Wright, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 502-55, Suffolk District, to the low bidder, J. R. Ford Company, Inc., Lynchburg, Va., at the bid of \$46,502.08 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$81,150.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Nelson, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 505-55, Suffolk District, to the low bidder, Portsmouth Paving Company, Inc., Portsmouth, Va., at the bid of \$56,885.84 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$82,550.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Mr. Flythe, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 504-55, Suffolk District, to the low bidder, Ames and Webb, Inc., Norfolk, Va., at the bid of \$18,515.84, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$20,150.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Rawls, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 506-55, Suffolk District, to the low bidder, Virginia Engineering Co., Inc., Newport News, Va., at the bid of \$17,114.40 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$18,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Flythe, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 601-55, Fredericksburg District, to the low bidder, American Asphalt Products Co., Washington, D. C., at the bid of \$15,275.40, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$15,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Flythe, seconded by Senator Wright, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 602-55, Fredericksburg District, to the low bidder, American Asphalt Products Co., Washington, D. C., at the bid of \$21,020.00 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$23,100.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Watkins, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, Schedule 605-55, Fredericksburg District, to the low bidder, J. B. Ford Company, Inc., Lynchburg, Va., at the bid of \$18,811.75, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$20,780.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering, and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Modified, Type F-1, F-2 or I-5, Schedule 701-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, S. L. Williamson Company, Inc., Charlottesville, Va., at the bid of \$86,052.00, that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work and \$8,520.80 for work by State Forces, making a total of approximately \$79,150.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. May, seconded by Mr. Rogers, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, or I-5, Schedule 702-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, S. L. Williamson Co., Inc., Charlottesville, Va., at the bid of \$36,011.75 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$41,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Nelson, seconded by Senator Wright, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-5, Schedule 703-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, S. L. Williamson Co., Inc., Charlottesville, Va., at the bid of \$36,125.10 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$39,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedules 704-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, J. R. Ford Co., Inc., Lynchburg, Va., at the bid of \$38,425.00 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$42,260.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Rogers, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 705-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, Piedmont Asphalt Paving Co., Broad Run, Va., at the bid of \$21,884.00, and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$24,000.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Watkins, seconded by Mr. May, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1, or I-3, Schedule 707-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, Piedmont Asphalt Paving Co., Broad Run, Va., at the low bid of \$27,996.20 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$30,800.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. May, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 708-55, Culpeper District, to the low bidder, American Asphalt Products Co., Washington, D. C., at the bid of \$64,127.20 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$70,550.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Flythe, seconded by Mr. Rawls, that the Commission confirm award of contract on bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type I-3, Schedule 801-55, Staunton District, to the low bidder, Adams Construction Co., Roanoke, Va., at the bid of \$68,709.20 and that 10% additional be set aside to cover the cost of engineering and additional work, making a total of approximately \$75,650.00 chargeable to this project. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. May, that the Commission confirm REJECTION of bids received April 6 for Furnishing, Delivering and Applying Plant Mixed Bituminous Material, Type F-1 or I-3, Schedule 708-55, Culpeper District, the low bid being 15.1% over estimate. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Runkle, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that Whereas, the City of Richmond, having concluded a one-way operation trial period of 90 days on portions of certain primary extensions within the City in an effort to relieve congestion, and Whereas, this one-way operation as adopted by the City of Richmond, reviewed and recommended by the Highway Department's Engineers, has proven to satisfactorily relieve said congestion; Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the following Primary Extension routings within the City of Richmond are hereby approved:

Extension of Route 60 in Richmond

**Northbound Change -**

From: Along 2nd Street between Cary and Broad Streets

To : East along Cary Street to 3rd Street; thence, north along 3rd Street to Broad Street.

**Southbound Change -**

From: Along 1st Street between Clay and Canal Streets and East along Canal Street to 2nd Street

To : Along 2nd Street from Clay Street to Cary Street.

Be It Further Resolved, that no change in present maintenance payments be made because of this approval. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Watkins, seconded by Senator Nelson, that, Whereas, the City of Roanoke and the Town of Salem have concluded a trial period of 90 days for alternate routing on portions of certain primary extensions within the City and Town in an effort to relieve congestion, and Whereas, these alternate routings, as requested by the City of Roanoke and the Town of Salem and as reviewed and recommended by the Highway Department Engineers, have proven satisfactory, Now Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the following adjustments of routings of primary extensions within the City of Roanoke and Town of Salem are hereby approved:

**Extension of Alternate Route 11 in Roanoke and Salem:**

That Alternate Route 11 be designated as an overlap of Route 460 from the intersection of Williamson Road to the West City Limits of Roanoke; thence, to and along Alternate 460 (Lakeside By-Pass) continuing into the Town of Salem to the presently designed Alt. Routes 11 and 460, at the intersection of College Avenue and 4th Street.

**Extension of Route 220 in Roanoke:**

Southbound - From the intersection of Jefferson and Salem Avenues, along Salem Avenue and First Street to Franklin Road; thence, on Franklin Road to Route 220 at Maple Avenue.

Northbound - From the intersection of Franklin Road and Maple Avenue, along Maple Avenue and Jefferson Avenue (present route).

**Extension of Route 221 in Roanoke:**

Eastbound - Along Elm Street from Franklin Road to Jefferson Street; thence, north on Jefferson Street to its intersection with Passwall Street and Franklin Road.

Westbound - Along Franklin Road, from intersection with Jefferson Street to Elm Avenue.

Be It Further Resolved, that no change in present maintenance payments be made because of this approval. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Rogers, that the Commission confirm its approval for the purchase of renewal insurance policy for Property Damage Insurance on the Geo. P. Coleman Memorial Bridge, from DeFarnette and Paul, Richmond, Virginia, for the three year premium of \$25,425.00 effective at 12:00 Noon, May 7, 1955. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that the Commission confirm its approval for the purchase of renewal of Use and Occupancy insurance policy covering the James River Bridge System and the George P. Coleman Memorial Bridge, from Benjamin E. Burroughs & Company, Norfolk, Virginia for the three year premium of \$11,953.00, effective at 12:00 noon, May 7, 1955. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that as provided under Article 6.1, Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, and upon recommendation of the Commissioner, the following sections of old Alternate Route 58 in Wise County being no longer necessary for uses as a highway they be abandoned to the extent of alteration: Sections 1 and 2 shown on plat dated December 1, 1954, Project 2597-12-15. That as provided under Article 2, Section 33-27 of the 1950 Code, Amended, Section 3 also shown on the plat referred to be transferred from the Primary System to the Secondary System. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Watkins, seconded by Senator Nelson, that as provided under Article 6.1, Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, and upon recommendation of the Commissioner, the following section of old Route 42 in Augusta County being no longer necessary for uses as a highway it be abandoned to the extent of alteration; Section 1 shown on plat dated February 14, 1955, Project 2407-07. That as provided under Article 2, Section 33-27 of the 1950 Code, Amended, Sections 2 and 4 shown on plat referred to be transferred from the Primary System to the Secondary System, new sections having been opened in lieu thereof. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. May, seconded by Mr. Rogers, that as provided under Article 6.1, Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, and upon recommendation of the Commissioner, the following sections of old Route 10E in Montgomery County are no longer necessary for uses as a highway and they be discontinued as a part of the Primary System; Sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 shown on plat dated February 15, 1955, Project 6480-01. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Senator Wright, that as provided under Article 6.1, Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, and upon recommendation of the Commissioner, the following sections of old Route 211 in Page County being no longer necessary for uses as a highway they be abandoned to the extent of alteration: Sections 1, 2, and 3 shown on plat dated February 15, 1955, Project 1789-04. Motion carried.



Moved by Mr. Flythe, seconded by Senator Nelson, that as provided under Article 6.1, Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, and upon recommendation of the Commissioner, the following sections of old Route 840 in Page County being no longer necessary for uses as a highway they be abandoned to the extent of alteration: Sections 1 and 2 shown on plat dated February 10, 1955, Project 5269-04-05. That as provided under Article 2, Section 33-141 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, Section 3 shown on the plat referred to having been built as a connection it be added to the Secondary System as such. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that as provided under Article 6.1, Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, Amended, and upon recommendation of the Commissioner, the following sections of old Route 80 in Russell County being no longer necessary for uses as a highway they be abandoned to the extent of alteration: Sections 1 and 2 shown on plat dated February 21, 1955, Project 5488-02. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Flythe, seconded by Mr. May, that whereas, a section of Route 100 in Pulaski County has been altered, constructed and opened to public use in accordance with plans for Project 5877-05 (old 1216-02); and B. C. and Irene B. Hurst, husband and wife, conveyed certain land to the Commonwealth, as shown on Plan Sheet 10, revised September 29, 1950, by deed dated June 26, 1951, and recorded in the Clerk's Office of said County in Deed Book 145, Page 258, the major portion of this land having been shown as required for the location and construction of a connection between the then existing location of Route 100 and the relocation thereof, the North line of this land being in and along the center of the latter location; however, Plan Sheet 10 was again revised July 19, 1953, to change the location of the connection, leaving only a minor part of this land shown within and required for the 110 ft. right of way of Route 100; and under date of July 22, 1953, B. C. and Irene B. Hurst executed an agreement providing for their conveyance to the Commonwealth of certain other land required for the revised location of this connection and in exchange for the Commonwealth's quitclaim to them of that part of the land conveyed by their deed dated June 26, 1951, lying outside of the 110 ft. right of way; and whereas, at a meeting of the State Highway Commission held on March 24, 1955, a resolution was adopted declaring abandoned the section (referred to as Section 5 and shown in blue on sketch) of the old location of Route 100 along the North side of the land conveyed by the deed of June 26, 1951, in accordance with Section 33-76.5 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended; and the State Highway Commissioner has certified in writing that the land conveyed by said deed, including the portion of the abandoned section, which lies outside of the 110 ft. right of way is deemed no longer necessary for the uses of the State Highway System and does not constitute a section of the public road. Now, therefore, as provided for by Section 33-76.6 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, the quitclaim of the land certified as deemed no longer necessary to B. C. and Irene B. Hurst, in exchange for their conveyance to the Commonwealth of the land as provided for by the agreement dated July 22, 1953, is hereby approved, and the State Highway Commissioner is authorized to execute and deliver a deed accordingly. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that, the section of proposed Route 51 from the mean low water line on the north side of the James River at Glass House Point in James City County, being the approach to the proposed bridge over the James River, and shown as ultimate line "B" on the plans for Project 2547-05, and extending northeasterly a distance of 1900 feet to Station 59+00 be designated as a Limited Access Highway, in accordance with Article 5, Chapter 1, Title 35, of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that, Whereas, under authority of Section 35-115.2 of the Code of Virginia, Amended, request is made by the Town of Front Royal for payment at the base rate of \$500 per mile annually on additional streets meeting the required standards. Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that quarterly payments at the base rate of \$500 per mile annually be made to the Town of Front Royal on additional streets totaling 6,515 Miles, effective beginning the fourth quarter, April 1, 1955. Motion carried.

Moved by Mr. Rawls, seconded by Mr. Barrow, that, Whereas, request is made by Admiral A. D. Chandler, President, College of William and Mary, that certain sections of roads open to public use within the ground of the institution be taken over for maintenance as a part of the Primary System. Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the requested driveways totaling 0.570 mile within the grounds of the College of William and Mary be added to the Primary System of Highways, under authority of Section 35-26 of the 1952 Code of Virginia, Amended. Motion carried.

Letter of April 11 from Mr. James P. Low, Manager of the Pulaski County Chamber of Commerce, was read to the Commission. This expressed the thanks of the Board of Directors and of Mr. Low personally for action of the Commission in naming Route 100 the "Wysor Highway".

A letter of April 8 from Mrs. Emma Wysor Dunlap, sister of Mr. J. Frank Wysor, was read to the Commission. Mrs. Dunlap thanked the Commission for the tribute paid to her brother in the naming of Route 100 in his honor.

Moved by Mr. Watkins, seconded by Senator Nelson, that the following policy statement with respect to the expenditure of Federal Aid Urban Funds, Municipalities of 5000 population and over, be adopted: Selection and Designation of Highway Systems - The highway systems designated to become a pattern for the long-range development of adequate highway service shall be selected to form an integrated network within each municipality and with like systems at municipal boundaries. The highway systems to be selected and designated in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Aid Act are: (A) A national system of interstate highways as required by Section 7 of the Federal Aid Highway Act of 1944. (B) The Federal Aid Highway System as now constituted and approved, with such revisions as may be approved.

Programs of Proposed Projects - Each municipality shall prepare and submit to the Highway Department for approval by the Commissioner of the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads, a detailed program of proposed projects for the utilization of any apportionment of funds made to the municipality under the provisions of the Federal Aid Highway Act. Programs shall include only such projects which are scheduled to be put under construction during the 10-year period immediately following the date of the program. Until plans, specifications and estimates for the project or part thereof have been submitted and found satisfactory by the authorized representatives of the State, and the municipality has been so notified, no project or part thereof shall be advertised for contract. No payment on any project shall be made by the State unless and until a written agreement has been executed by the State and the municipality.

Right of Way - State participation in right of way shall be restricted to the cost of new or additional lands required and acquired subsequent to the date of approval of the program, which includes the project for which right of way is acquired. Payment will not be made for any land owned by the municipality that is not acquired and used as a part of the right of way for such project, but payment may be made in proper cases for the readjustment, repair or restoration of facilities and improvements on such publicly owned land made necessary by reason of the construction of the highway projects thereon. Only such right of way costs as are paid from public funds of the municipality shall be eligible for reimbursement. Notion carried.

Moved by Mr. Barrow, seconded by Mr. Watkins, that the Commission adopt the following regulations in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of pipelines for the transmission of natural gas within the right of way of the State Highway Department.

Compliance with Standard Code - Any pipeline which is subjected to, or intended to be subjected to, an internal pressure in excess of one hundred twenty five (125) pounds per square inch gauge (psig), (above atmospheric pressure), shall be constructed and operated in compliance with the applicable provisions of the current edition of the American Standard Code for Pressure Piping, formulated under the auspices of the American Standards Association of New York, New York (hereinafter referred to as the "Standard Code").

Crossing - At points where a gas pipeline intersects a highway, when such pipeline is, or is intended to be, subjected to an internal pressure in excess of 50 psig and having a diameter of 6 inches or more, the pipeline shall be enclosed in casing as herein provided and shall cross the highway in such a manner that the angle between the center line of the pipeline and highway shall be as near as practicable to 90°. A gas carrying pipe within the scope of this paragraph crossing a highway shall be enclosed in a casing which at least meets the requirements of the Specifications for Pipe Line Crossings, except that the minimum distance from the top of the casing to the used surface of the road shall be four feet six inches (4.5 feet), and where terrain permits, the casing shall extend beyond the edge of the pavement a distance of not less than twenty-five (25) feet or to the line of right of way, whichever is less. When a highway is widened, the casing shall be extended so that it shall still meet the requirements of this paragraph.

Lines Parallel to Highways - Every gas pipeline constructed and operated within the boundaries of a highway right of way shall conform to the standards and requirements of the Standard Code for gas pipelines within the boundaries of cities and towns.

Whenever reasonably possible to avoid doing so a gas pipeline subjected to or intended to be subjected to pressure in excess of 125 psig, should not be installed beneath and parallel to or within the right of way of any highway. When such a gas pipeline is so installed the construction shall conform, as far as casing is concerned, to the provisions of Rule 2 (Crossings) to the extent reasonable practicable. Such a gas pipeline, if uncased, shall be constructed of pipe having a wall thickness at least 25 per cent greater than that required under the Standard Code for pipelines classed under the Standard Code as pipelines within the limits of cities and villages. Notwithstanding the provisions of these rules, all applicable rules of other State or local agencies having jurisdiction which exceed the requirements of said rules shall be effective. Motion carried.

Information on a proposed Industrial Site in Campbell County on Route 621 was given to the Commission. This involved a resolution from the Board of Supervisors recommending that such a project be financed with Secondary Funds spread over a three year period provided the cost does not exceed \$200,000.00. A copy of letter of April 19 to Mr. C. H. Gay, Vice President, The Babcock and Wilcox Company, of Akron, Ohio, was given to the Members.

Policy of the Virginia State Highway Commission in  
Regard to Projects on the Interstate System, By-Passes  
and Other Extraordinary Projects on the State System  
Involving the Control of Access

Whereas, in view of the rapid change in highway development and the need to establish an over-all program to keep pace with such change and development, the Virginia State Highway Commission desires to state and define its policy and procedure with reference to the adoption of the location and relocation of any State highway which is to be constructed as a part of the Interstate System, or as a By-Pass, or other extraordinary project in the State Highway System involving the control of access, and  
Whereas, Section 55-51 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, provides, that, "The roads embraced within 'The State Highway System' shall be established, constructed and maintained exclusively by the State under the direction and supervision of the Commissioner, with such State funds as may hereafter be appropriated and made available for such purposes, together with such appropriations as may be hereafter made by any county, district, city or town in this State and such funds as are now available or which may hereafter be derived from the federal government for road building and improvement in this State. The State Highway Commission may apply funds

becoming available for the State Highway System from proceeds of the tax on motor fuel to the maintenance of roads and projects in the State Highway System, as well as to the construction thereof, as now provided by law." and Whereas, Section 55-12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, provides in part as follows: "The State Highway Commission shall be vested with the following powers . . . (1) To locate and establish the routes to be followed by the roads comprising the State Highway System between the points designated in the establishment of such a system." and Whereas, Section 55-17 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, provides that, "When a route has already been located and established in pursuance of law no change shall be made in such route by the Commission under the provisions of paragraph (1) of Section 55-12, and the Commission shall not locate and establish any route under such provisions unless and until thirty days' written notice of its proposed action shall have been given to the clerk of the circuit court of the county in which the route to be located and established, or any part thereof, is situated and also unless and until such notice shall have been published at least once in a newspaper published in such county or counties, or in some newspaper having general circulation therein, not less than thirty days before the proposed action of the Commission and until a local hearing shall have been had by the Commission, if the same be requested. Immediately upon the receipt of such notice, the clerk shall notify the board of supervisors or other governing body and the local road authorities of such county. Within thirty days after the filing of such report with the clerk of the court, the board of supervisors or other governing body or local road authorities of such county, or any fifty or more free holders thereof, may apply to the Commission for a rehearing of its decision location and establishing any such route and the Commission shall thereupon, within a reasonable time, hear such application and its decision on such rehearing shall be final." and Whereas, Section 55-35 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, provides, in part that, "The State Highway Commission may acquire by gift, purchase, exchange, condemnation or otherwise, such lands or interest therein, necessary or proper for the purpose, and may construct and improve thereon such by-passes or extensions and connections of the primary system of State highways through or around cities and incorporated towns, as the Commission may deem necessary for the uses of the State Highway System;" and Whereas, Article III, Chapter 1 of Title 55, Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, defines a limited access highway and empowers the State Highway Commission to plan, designate, acquire, construct, etc., such limited access highways, in the same manner as any other highways in this State, and Whereas, in order that the Commission may act in the best interest of the State in the selection and adoption of locations of State Highways, or sections thereof, being considered for adoption and construction as projects on the Interstate System and the By-Passes and other extraordinary projects in the State Highway System involving the control of access, it is required by the Commission that it have before it all pertinent data relative thereto, including engineering and economic analysis respecting particular projects, now, therefore Be It Resolved By the Virginia State

Highway Commission, that the following procedure is hereby established for determination of the location or relocation of any State Highway, or portion thereof, which is proposed to be constructed as a part of the Interstate System or as a By-Pass or any other extraordinary project in the State Highway System involving the control of access. 1. A public meeting is to be conducted by the member of the State Highway Commission in whose district the project is proposed, assisted by the Highway Engineering Staff, for the purpose of informing the elected officials and other interested citizens of the proposed action, and to obtain suggestions. 2. Following such meeting the Department will then work up proposed lines with alternates on aerial photographs or maps, developing information pertaining to construction, right of way, utility costs, traffic and safety data, and other needed information, and the Chief Engineer and his Associates will review and submit the report to the Commission with recommendations. The Commission may: (1) approve the recommendations, or (2) require a public hearing. 3. If a public hearing is necessary, the Chief Engineer shall make the necessary arrangements, i.e. post notices, sent out publicity, exhibits, tape recording and other details. The Commissioner or a member of the Commission will preside. He will . . . (1) Introduce officials. (2) State the purpose of the meeting. (3) Call on State Engineer to present the report with his recommendations. (4) Call on others to speak for or against recommendations. 4. In all cases in which a relocation of an existing primary road is proposed, at least thirty days prior to the adoption by the Commission of any such relocation written notice of the proposed action shall be given to the clerk of the Circuit Court of the county in which such road is situated and such notice published at least once in a newspaper having general circulation in the area. In the event an appeal to the Commission for a rehearing as provided in Section 54-17 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, such hearing shall be conducted insofar as possible in the manner set forth in paragraph 3 above.

**Policy of the Virginia State Highway Commission in  
Regard to the Allocation of Construction Projects.**

Whereas, present practice of the State Highway Commission in making allocations for highway construction projects is to base such allocations on the annual recommendation of the Engineering Staff, and Whereas, the rapid change in highway development dictates that greater time will be allowed for projection of study in surveys, plans, right of way and other essentials, and Whereas, the State Highway Commission can more feasibly plan its projects on a long range basis, now, therefore, be it Resolved by The State Highway Commission that the following policy is hereby adopted for allocating funds for highway construction projects: (1) The Commission will continue its present policy of publicly approving allocations for the construction program of the ensuing year. (2) For administrative purposes the Commission will approve a program of tentative projects to be constructed during the ensuing five year period based on anticipated available funds;

the anticipated funds so tentatively allocated not to exceed eighty (80) per cent of the amount so anticipated, it being understood that no portion of any such tentative funds will become obligated for such project until such funds have been appropriated for highway construction.

The Commission proceeded to the auditorium for a public HEARING at 9:50 A.M. on the request for a new road between Alberta and the new consolidated school one mile east of Lawrenceville.

The Chairman advised those present that he understood that a delegation from Lunenburg County was present and desired to have a word. Mr. J. T. Waddill, Jr., Clerk, expressed appreciation to the Commission for the tentative allocations set up in Lunenburg County on Routes 40 and 49 and asked that they be made permanent. The delegation was interested in the completion of the work for which a previous allocation was set up and later transferred elsewhere.

Senator A. S. Harrison, Jr., of Lawrenceville, headed a delegation from Brunswick County, relative to the requested new road from Alberta to the new consolidated school one mile east of Lawrenceville.

Mr. J. P. Mills, Jr., Traffic and Planning Engineer for the Department, verbally presented to those present a statement relative to studies and investigations concerning the requested road.

Senator Harrison presented the problem and then introduced the other speakers, among whom were Mr. J. C. Hutcheson, Commonwealth's Attorney; Mr. T. E. Warriner, Jr., Mayor of Lawrenceville; Mr. B. F. Walton, Superintendent of Schools; and Hon. E. D. Bough, Trial Justice.

The delegation was advised by the Chairman that the problem would be taken under advisement and given most careful consideration by the Commission. They were thanked for coming and presenting their views.

A statement was made by J. A. Anderson to all those present. This statement referred to the hearing on the requested road in Brunswick County from Alberta to the new consolidated school one mile east of Lawrenceville; to the hearing to be held on the proposed relocation of Route U.S. 560; the traffic over a period of years and building for the future, etc., etc.

Mr. Burton Marye, Jr., Chief Engineer, was introduced. Mr. Marye made a full and detailed report on the two projects under consideration at Central Garage and Millers Tavern on U. S. 560.

Senator Thomas H. Blanton was introduced by the Chairman as head of the delegation appearing in the interest of present U.S. 560. Senator Blanton introduced the following persons who spoke in favor of the proposed relocation of the Route Messrs. E. R. Farmer; W. K. Johnson, Linswood Edwards, and C. O. Robinson.

The following were introduced as speakers in favor of retaining the present location of U.S. 560: Mr. John P. Gausey, Commonwealth's Attorney; Mr. John R. Gwathmey, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors; Mr. Elliott McGeorge, Chairman of the School Board; Mr. E. R. Gournow, President of the Parent-Teachers Association; Mr. H. G. Rashe, representing the property owners at Central Garage; Mr. D. G. Garrett; Hon. Jos. J. Williams of Henrico County; Hon. Edmund T. DeJarnette of Hanover County, William Smoot, S. S. Courtney, A. Preston and Mrs. Ferry, his mother, of Millers Tavern. Mrs. D. Gregory telephoned to say that she and her husband came to Richmond to attend the hearing, arriving too late to be present. They are most interested in having Route U.S. 560 follow the location proposed by the Highway Department and believe the new line will be the best thing for the county.

The Commissioner advised those appearing that the Commission was deeply grateful for the interest in its problems and thanked them warmly for their visit to Richmond today. They were assured that full and careful consideration would be given to the problem.

Delegate C. W. Cleaton of South Hill was present and asked to be heard. Appreciation and thanks were expressed for the proposed re-locating of Route 58 at La Cross; and for the tentative allocation set up for 1955-1956.

The Commission was reminded of the hearings to be held in Roanoke and Richmond on Thursday and Friday May 5 and 6 respectively on the tentative allocations for 1955-1956.

At 12:15 P.M. the Commission adjourned and proceeded to lunch at the Raleigh Hotel.

After lunch the Commission left by automobile and drove over Route U.S. 560 from Richmond to Tappahannock to look over the relocation proposed, etc., etc. after which they returned to Richmond and departed for their respective homes.

Approved -

  
Chairman

Attested -

  
Secretary